







Experience with accessing funding for the implementation of Natura 2000 measures

Ministry for Environment and Spatial Planning
Directorate for the Environment
Sector for Nature Conservation
Andrej Bibič









Contribution of LIFE+ SI Natura 2000 management project to securing funding

Preconditions for securing sufficient Natura 2000 funding not the best:

- Art. 8 (3) and 8 (4) were not implemented in Cion proposal and negotiations for MS' allocations for using EU funds
- The process to assure funding for Natura 2000 so started without any additional funds in comparison to FP 2007-13.
- With economic crisis even less funds available in general, more pressure of powerful sectors and stakeholders on EU funds.









Access to EU funds

Outcome of the "battle" for funds is defined by the political power of a sector and persistency of a sector in long and exhausting process of allocation funds.

- LIFE + project enabled more staff to work on assuring EU funding at different levels, and increased persistency;
- Cion was in general helpful in programming process (preparation of Operational programmes for using EU funds):
 - requiring to focus funds to address crucial problems
 - insisting on this during the whole process.









Access to EU funds - general

- Nature conservation included in national programming process already in very early stages
- LIFE + project enabled preparation of analyses on use of funds and delivery of expected results in the FP 2007-13
- These were useful instrument to convince national coordinators of each programming process.
- Coordinated action of several project partners gave same signals at programming debates on national (top down) and local/regional (bottom up) level.









Governmental Natura 2000 Management Programme



Conservation objectives per site & species

Measures to achieve conservation objectives using existing legislation

2007-2013

Measures enter PA & sectoral management plans (forestry, fishing,...), development plans (RDP & OPs for structural funds)









Agricultural (RDP) funds

Main focus on agri-environment payments:

- LIFE + project enabled permanent cooperation of Directorate for Agriculture and public Farm Advisory Service (under Chamber of Agriculture and Forestry)
- This resulted in well prepared a-e measures, and reaching understanding of those measure by FAS in advance
- Cion insisted on clear intervention logic agri-env. measures must address problems of species' habitats and HTs









Agricultural (RDP) funds

End result (in adopted RDP 2015-20):

- targeted Natura 2000 a-e measures, in line with draft Natura 2000 management programme (which sets target values);
- FAS understands measures an is able to promote them;
- RDP measure Transfer of knowledge implemented also by public nature conservation institute (project partner);
- Other RDP horizontal measures (information and cooperation) enable future Natura projects.









National OP for regional development and cohesion:

- Biggest fund, very long programming period (2011-15), with many programming activities at national and regional/local levels;
- National programming body more focused on important results and on synergies;
- Cion also insisted on clear intervention logics.









LIFE+ project helped in:

- supporting clear intervention logics by analyses;
- enough staff to follow all important programming events, meetings, tasks, etc on national level (Ministry and ZRSVN) and regional/local levels (project partners).

Result:

In adopted OP for implementation of European cohesion policy 2014-20 Natura 2000 has its own priority axis (45 MEUR), and one joint project with floods protection (adaptation to climate change).









CBC programmes with neighbouring countries (Hungary, Croatia, Austria, Italy)

Current situation – all 4 drafts prepared and 3 drafts (SI-HR, SI-HU, SI-AT) in submission process to Cion

Important to know:

- different procedure of adoption from national OPs,
- very important role played by coordination units;
- more or less same messages from nature conservation bodies on both sides of the border are very helpful.









CBC programmes

LIFE + project helped in:

- achieving high understanding of the Natura 2000 issues and their importance at nat. coordinators:
 - analytical documents from the LIFE+ project;
 - enough staff to give same important messages from the national (ministry) and bottom up level (project partners);
- giving more or less same messages from nature conservation bodies on both sides of the border by LIFE+ crossborder workshops (1-2 with each country).









CBC programmes - result

- possibilities for Natura 2000 projects exist in all four drafts (investment priorities 6c, d and/or f);
- investment priority 6d ("pure" Natura 2000) in SI HR and SI IT;
- Natura as a result indicator in 2 programmes, output indicator in SI-HR (Natura conservation conditions);

Transnational programmes

- Priority 6d in 4 out of 6 transnational programmes covering Slovenia
- In remaining 2 programmes 6c (tourism)









Tapping in non-biodiversity programmes

In FP 2007-13 in national OP a lot in tourism.

In FP 2014-20 tourism does not have its priority investment, biodiversity (Natura 2000) has it.

Climate change – one synergic project with areas identified under Floods Directive









Centralised EU financial instruments

Horizon 2020

- Slovenian Natura 2000 management programme determined needs for research (esp. applicative), there are possibilities for funding, but criteria for selection are not specific for Natura issues

LIFE integrated projects

- Natura 2000 management programme gives a frame for those projects and identifies priorities (scaled)











Več na:

http://www.natura2000. gov.si/index.php?id=21

Operativni program upravljanja z območji Natura 2000 v Sloveniji 2014-2020 SI Natura 2000 Upravljanje (LIFE11 NAT/SI/880)

Cilj projekta je priprava Operativnega programa upravljanja z območji Natura 2000 v Sloveniji